CLIENT AUTHORIZATION LETTER

DATE (TBD) Dear Client: We are currently responding to a request for proposal (RFP) for the NASA Ames Research Center Aeronautics and Exploration Mission Modeling and Simulation (AEMMS) procurement. NASA Ames Research Center is continuing to place increased emphasis on past performance as a source selection factor. As such, a requirement of its solicitation is that past clients of ours be identified and asked to participate in the evaluation process. of your organization as the point of contact We have identified based on his/her knowledge concerning our work. Please help us by completing the enclosed Past Performance Questionnaire and forwarding it directly to NASA Ames Research Center, ATTN: Naomi Castillo-Velasquez, Code JAI:243-1, Moffett Field, CA 94035-0001, or by email to: Naomi.Castillo-Velasquez@nasa.gov. A response to this questionnaire is requested to the above address No Later than: March 20, 2015 Thank you for completing this questionnaire. Any questions may be directed to the undersigned. Sincerely, **Enclosure**

Please use the following adjectival ratings to respond to the questions on the following pages. Additionally, please select one rating per statement, using the following definitions and provide additional remarks to further explain any Excellent or Poor rating, as well as in response to the more detailed questions.

Definition of Ratings

Excellent (E)	Consistent record of exceptional past performance by the offeror and any proposed major subcontractors on work identical or very similar to the work requirements of the proposed contract; indicating exemplary performance in a timely, efficient, and economical manner; very minor (if any) problems with no adverse effect on overall performance.
Very Good (VG)	Consistent record of successful past performance by the offeror and any proposed major subcontractors on work identical or very similar to the work requirements of the proposed contract; demonstrating very effective performance that would be fully responsive to contract requirements with contract requirements accomplished in a timely, efficient, and economical manner for the most part with only minor problems with little identifiable effect on overall performance.
Good (G)	Successful past performance by the offeror and any proposed major subcontractors on work similar to the work requirements of the proposed contract; and it demonstrates effective performance; fully responsive to contract requirements; reportable problems, but with little identifiable effect on overall performance.
Neutral (N)	Neutral score. Assigned to Offerors without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or not applicable.
Satisfactory(S)	Successful past performance by the offeror and any proposed major subcontractors on work similar to the work requirements of the proposed contract and may be limited in terms of the size, scope and complexity when compared to this contract; demonstrates meets or slightly exceeds minimum acceptable standards; adequate results; reportable problems with identifiable, but not substantial, effects on overall performance.
Poor (P)	The Offeror's relevant past performance demonstrates performance that does not meet minimum acceptable standards in one or more areas; remedial action required in one or more areas; problems in one or more areas, which adversely affect overall performance.

Past Performance Questionnaire

Table 1	

Offe	eror:				
Con	tract Number:				
Age	ncy/Company:				
Plea	neral ase provide the folk Type of Contract:	owing information cond	ernin	g the contract:	
Г	Firm Fixed Price		П	Cost Plus Fixed Fee	Tr
	Cost Plus Award Fe	ee		Other (Describe):	
Г	Method of Compet	ition:			Tr
_		description—e.g., Full a	nd Op	en, Small Business Set-Aside, etc.—below)	
	Follow-on?				Г
	Newrequirement?				

From:	To:	

4. Contract Value (including all options): \$_____

5. Was the contractor the prime contractor or a subcontractor? Please indicate the percentage of overall contract work performed and number of Work Year Equivalents (WYEs) used to perform the work.

Prime contractor	Subcontractor	
% of work performed	# of W YEs provided	

6. Description of services provided:

Technical Areas	Prime	Major Sub	Prime	Major Sub	Prime	Major Sub
a) Aerospace vehicle systems- analysis tools development and application						
b) Aerospace vehicle and system design, analysis, test and optimization						
c) Development and application of tools for the high fidelity modeling and simulation of aerospace vehicles and systems including Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) and Computational Structural Dynamics (CSD)						
d) Development of improved physical models						
e) Integrated multidisciplinary design and analysis framework development and applications						
f) Risk analysis methods development and application to aerospace systems						
g) Geometry and Grid generation tool development and applications						
h) Planetary and Earth Science modeling						
i) Modeling and simulation of "green" technology applications						
j) Optimization and mission decision support tools and analysis						
k) Computational chemistry, computational biology, and computational material science						
Alternate technologies for innovative space commercialization						
m) Contract Management						
Total Employees Prime / Sub Contract						
Total Employees on the Contract						

	Place an "X" in the appropriate column	E	VG	G	N	S	Р
ical	 Compliance with technical and schedule requirements, including an explanation of any schedule slips. 						
Relevant Technica Performance	Flexibility and effectiveness in dealing with changes to technical requirements.						
erfor	Problems encountered and their resolutions.						
Rele	Quality, accuracy, and completeness of technical products.						

Please provide additional remarks to further explain Excellent or Poor ratings.

Comments/Remarks:			

	Place an "X" in the appropriate column	E	VG	G	N	S	Р
	 Management of multiple, concurrent, and complex tasks. 						
ct ement	 Record of recruiting, developing, and retaining a workforce with the appropriate skills for contract performance. 						
Contract Management	 Ability to fill Key Personnel vacancies in a timely manner. 						
0 ≥	 Management of the phase-in period to ensure efficient continuation of operations during contract turn-over. 						
	 Management of technology transfer including export control, Government sensitive, and third party proprietary data issues. 						
	 Management of performance problems and their resolutions. 						
	Ability to assess and re-assign staff.						

Please provide additional remarks to further explain Excellent or Poor ratings.

Comments/Remarks:		
RESPONDENT INFORMATION:		
Name (printed)	Date:	
Phone, Commercial:	Email:	
Contracting Agency or Customer		
Position/Title:		
Relationship to Contract:		
Length of Involvement:		